Journal of the International AIDS Society



Poster presentation

Open Access

Genotypic susceptibility to tipranavir of HIV-I isolates in treatment-experienced patients

P Piliero*1, L Bhatti2, E Coakley3, J Scherer1, M McDonough1 and J Baxter4

Address: ¹Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc, Ridgefield, CT, USA, ²AIDS Healthcare Foundation, Los Angeles, CA, USA, ³Monogram Biosciences Inc, South San Francisco, CA, USA and ⁴Cooper University Hospital/UMDNJ-Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Camden, NJ, USA * Corresponding author

from Ninth International Congress on Drug Therapy in HIV Infection

Published: 10 November 2008

Glasgow, UK. 9-13 November 2008

Journal of the International AIDS Society 2008, 11(Suppl 1):P190 doi:10.1186/1758-2652-11-S1-P190

This abstract is available from: http://www.jiasociety.org/content/11/S1/P190

© 2008 Piliero et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

Purpose of the study

Tipranavir (TPV) is a ritonavir-boosted HIV protease inhibitor (PI) indicated for use in treatment-experienced patients (TEP) with PI resistance. TPV has shown superior virologic and immunologic responses in TEPs compared with first-generation PIs. In the Utilization of HIV Drug Resistance in Treatment-Experienced Patients (UTILIZE) study, we assessed the presence of susceptibility to TPV among HIV-1 isolates in TEPs.

Methods

UTILIZE was conducted at 40 US sites and examined clinician use of HIV drug-resistance testing in TEPs failing a PI-based regimen. In this observational study, patients were randomized to have either a genotype (GT; Monogram GeneSeq) or combined phenotype-genotype test (PGT; Monogram Phenosense GT) to assist with treatment decision-making. Resistance data were evaluated to assess TPV susceptibility among isolates from patients failing a PI-based regimen.

Summary of results

246 patients enrolled and 236 had resistance testing. Median HIV-RNA and CD4 count were 30,538 copies/mL and 197 cells/mm³ with no significant differences between GT and PGT groups. There were 139 and 69 patients who had GT or PT evidence of reduced susceptibility to at least one PI, respectively. Table 1 shows the percentage of isolates from these patients (both overall and by number of prior PIs) demonstrating genotypic and phenotypic susceptibility to TPV.

Conclusion

In this cohort of TEPs failing a PI-based regimen, a majority of patient isolates showed full or partial susceptibility to TPV. Even in the most PI-experienced patients, one-third to one-half had virus that remained fully or partially susceptible to TPV. An advantage of phenotypic testing is that it reports both full and partial drug susceptibility which may help guide clinician choice of TPV. When constructing a new regimen in PI-experienced patients, resistance testing should guide the use of TPV as a potential therapeutic option.

Table I:

	Genotypic TPV susceptibility* (n = 139)	Phenotypic TPV susceptibility** (n = 69)
Overall	58%	79%
I-2 previous Pls	83%	90%
3-4 previous Pls	60%	71%
5+ previous Pls	36%	52%

^{*}includes only full susceptibility

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- \bullet yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp



^{**}includes full and partial susceptibility